# SELF/PEER EVALUATION AND FEEDBACK FORM

**Name of evaluator** ...............................................................

**Publication:**  *Portfolio Web Page*

**Publication author**...........................................................

Study the Web Page and tick the box ONLY if it matches all the assessment statements.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Does the Home Page introduce the site well? Is it clear what the site is for? | ☐ | Is the font consistent and appropriate to this web page? | ☐ |
| Does the heading stand out? | ☐ | Is there good use of the space?  Are the text and graphics well laid out? | ☐ |
| Does the web page look professional? | ☐ | Are the hyperlinks accurate?  Do all the links work well? | ☐ |
| Is the text easy to read against the background? | ☐ | Are the three pieces of work linked to introduced and explained? | ☐ |

Select the statement which most closely matches your overall assessment.

| Needs lots doing to improve it. | Generally OK. Competent use of software. | Looks good. Software has been used with imagination. | Very good. Great balance and imaginative layout. | Absolutely great.  It would be difficult to improve. |
|---|---|---|---|---|

## What should be done to improve this publication?
Give ideas to the author for improving their web page.

Think about how well the web page meets the assessment statements on the left.

Maybe is it easy to read the text but not the hyperlinks. Is there enough variation in font size? Do the fonts work well together? Any hyperlinks not working, hyperlinks in strange places and hard to find, no titles on the pages, boring design or is the web page brilliant? Does the web page tell you enough about where the links will take you?

Make some comments here and suggest some improvements that your partner could make….